MEMO FROM THE MAYOR

SUBJECT: We're a Hot, Divided Mess, This Weimar Republic of Ours—And It's a Bi-Partisan Effort! Part II

At a Glance:

- The concept of a New World Order has been around a long time, since at least the time of the Apostle John, circa 96 A.D.
- The term has been used by three different presidents in the twentieth century: Wilson, FDR and Bush 41.
- While "Progressives" scoff at notions about a "Great Reset", the virtual 2021 World Economic Forum's Theme just happened to be "The Great Reset."
- "The simplest explanation is usually the best one." William of Ockham (Occam's razor)
 Only Right-Wing Nuts Talk About That New World Order Thingy... Right?

It's fun to watch the eye-rolls (if not outright guffawing) from so-called Progressive Liberals when they hear the term "New World Order"—or the more modern term, "Great Reset". Afterall, that's right-wing conspiracy babble, right? Not exactly. Pope Francis has used both terms since ascending the papal throne.

As it turns out, the New World Order is not a right-wing anything—other than a topic of conversation. The concept or idea behind the phrase has existed for two millennia. For example, one can read of a Satanically-wicked, despotic ruler who comes to control our world in the Book of Revelation, bringing a very different—and new—order to it. Scholars generally attribute this "apocalyptic" book (think "revealing" or "unveiling") to the Apostle John, written during his last days on the island of Patmos around 96 A.D. Patmos is situated off the west coast of present-day Turkey, in what was then known as Asia Minor. Can we agree that 2000 years ago is not recent?

As Old Dominion University scholars Eric A. Miller and Steve A. Yetiv demonstrate in their article, "The New World Order in Theory and Practice: The Bush Administration's Worldview in Transition":

The new world order is a concept that emerged prominently three times in the twentieth century. Woodrow Wilson sought to create a new world order after World War I only to find that the world, as well as the U.S. Senate, was not ready for his brand of idealism. During World War II, Franklin D. Roosevelt envisioned a new world order that would ensure greater stability and peace through the creation of an international body of

the United Nations (UN), although he saw it as a body that would be based on great power cooperation. Later in the century, during the Persian Gulf crisis (1990-1991), the administration of [Bush 41] revisited this abstract concept in line with the effort to reverse Iraq's invasion of Kuwait on August 2, 1990."

As far back as the 18th century, we see the concept (if not the precise term) used with regard to the United States of America. In its nascent stage as a sovereign nation, we find this concept by viewing the backside of the current US Dollar bill in the Latin phrase *Novus Ordo Seculorum*. This is generally translated into the English as "A New Order of the Ages." After the Continental Congress commissioned Charles Thomson, one of our Founders, to come up with a design for the Great Seal of the United States, FDR placed it on the back of the US dollar in 1935 during his first term.

Bush 41's New World Order

As noted by Miller and Yetiv, above, George H. W. Bush used the term "New World Order" in relation to Gulf War I. On 16 January 1991, while addressing the nation at the beginning of Operation Desert Stom, President Bush told the American TV audience this:

"We have before us the opportunity to forge for ourselves and for the future generations a New World Order—a world where the rule of law, not the law of the jungle, governs the conduct of nations—an order in which a credible United Nations can use its peace keeping role to fulfill the promise of the UN's founders."

That was just over twenty years ago. How did that work out for us? Especially getting a credible UN?

Globalist institutions like the World Economic Forum and the Rockefeller Foundation have been making their predictions for the US's future for decades. These globalist institutions have predicted for some time such catastrophes as a major reduction of the world's population coupled with a central worldwide government. Yet, the Apostle John beat them by about 2000 years.

The Elite Say the Old and Sick Have a Duty to Die

I remember vividly when a New York Times headline from March 29, 1984 screamed: "Gov. Lamm Asserts Elderly, If Very Ill, Have Duty to Die." Lamm, a Progressive Democrat, held the office of Governor of Colorado at the time. After the article appeared, he often bitterly complained about how the press misquoted him or took his thoughts out of context. How in the world is THIS out of context—particularly when Lamm's recorded: "You've got a duty to die and

get out of the way. Let the other society, our kids, build a reasonable life"?

Lamm's lame excuse for his lack of compassion boiled down to people electing to pass on and not having their lives artificially extended are similar to "...leaves falling off a tree and forming humus for the other plants to grow up." To me, that sounded much more like Charleston Heston's movie, *Soylent Green*, than say, imperialist-loving Walt Whitman's *Leaves of Grass*. I can see the iconic scene now, the one where Heston discovers that the world's eugenics program has been feeding the world's population. Following Edward G. Robinson's body (Robinson played Heston's aging, sick roommate, Sol, who elects to "go home") Heston watches as Sol and other dead bodies fall into a vat, then turned into green wafers to eat because "real" food has long been gone. Heston screams out, "Soylent Green is people!" Kind of puts a new spin on GMO, doesn't it?

Oh, lest I forget: Hollywood had this taking place in 2022 in a most dystopian world where our planet is described as a mess, pollution is rampant, resources are scarce, riots are frequent, the very rich lived in walled off and secure buildings and corporations provide most of the services—such as they were. This brings to mind many metropolitan areas in the US today.

Between 1973's Soylent Green and Lamm's 1984 comments, consider the 1980's Georgia Guidestones Monument. The monument's commandments promote a dystopian, post-apocalyptic, globalist, or New World Order Illuminati-type message, depending on one's perspective. Unremarkably, the author(s) of the message remain anonymous. Here is what the Georgia Guidestones say in several languages:

- 1. Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature.
- 2. Guide reproduction wisely improving fitness and diversity.
- 3. Unite humanity with a living new language.
- 4. Rule passion faith tradition and all things with tempered reason.
- 5. Protect people and nations with fair laws and just courts.
- 6. Let all nations rule internally resolving external disputes in a world court.
- 7. Avoid petty laws and useless officials.
- 8. Balance personal rights with social duties.
- 9. Prize truth beauty love seeking harmony with the infinite.
- 10. Be not a cancer on the earth Leave room for nature Leave room for nature.

Get the message? Hard Left, soulless mechanisms somehow get rid of seven or eight

billion people for "perpetual balance", which we call "sustaining" or "sustainable" these days. Should this happen, the Emperor of the Big Bully in the East could control the world's markets. I wonder what type of a mark people will have to acquire in order to eat. "Hey, mom. Please pass the wafers. I'm really hungry from beating-up old people."